FILE COPY

Office Supreme Court, U. S.
FILED
FEB 4 1924
WM. R. STANSBURY
CLERK

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1923

No. 2 Original

THE STATE OF ARKANSAS, Complainant,

vs.

THE STATE OF TENNESSEE, Defendant.

MOTION OF STATE OF ARKANSAS TO CONFIRM REPORT OF COMMISSION AND TO TAX COSTS

CARUTHERS EWING,
Solicitor for Complainant.

TOOF-MEMPHIS

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1923

No. 2 Original

THE STATE OF ARKANSAS, Complainant,

vs.

THE STATE OF TENNESSEE, Defendant.

MOTION OF STATE OF ARKANSAS TO CONFIRM REPORT OF COMMISSION AND TO TAX COSTS

Now comes the State of Arkansas and moves that the Report of the Commission, filed herein on May 24, 1921, be confirmed; and that the exceptions filed to said report by the State of Tennessee be overruled.

And the State of Arkansas, by its counsel, further moves the Court with respect to the taxation

of costs, that the expense of printing the Report of the Commission be paid by the State of Tennessee, because:

1. The State of Tennessee was alone dissatisfied with the report and, as a condition to excepting thereto, required the State of Arkansas to pay the cost of printing same, to-wit, $3,150.

2. The exceptions filed by the State of Tennessee to the report were frivolous and presented no real question for the consideration of the court, and, outside of the costs incident to printing the report, that Tennessee might file frivolous exceptions thereto, the State of Arkansas submits that the report of the Commission should be confirmed and all expenses incident to this proceeding should then, as aforesaid, be directed to be in equal proportions paid by the parties hereto.

Respectfully submitted,

CARUTHERS EWING,
Solicitor for State of Arkansas.

NOTICE TO COUNSEL

Please take notice that on the 3rd day of March, 1924, or as soon thereafter on the convening of court as counsel can be heard, the complainant will move the court:

1. To confirm the report of the Commission filed herein on the 24th day of May, 1921, and to decree the boundary line in accordance with the report of the said Commission.

2. To tax the defendant with the cost of printing the report to which the defendant filed frivolous exceptions, and

3. To tax all other costs incident to this proceeding as to the court may seem right and proper.

Dated, January....., 1924.

CARUTHERS EWING,
Solicitor for Complainant.

J. S. UTLEY,
Attorney-General of Arkansas.

EWING, KING & KING,
Of Counsel.

FILE COPY

FILED
FEB 4 1924
WM. R. STANSBURY
CLERK

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1923

No. 2 Original

THE STATE OF ARKANSAS, Complainant,

vs.

THE STATE OF TENNESSEE, Defendant.

BRIEF AND ARGUMENT FOR THE STATE OF ARKANSAS ON EXCEPTIONS OF THE STATE OF TENNESSEE TO THE REPORT OF COMMISSIONERS APPOINTED TO RUN THE BOUNDARY LINE BETWEEN ARKANSAS AND TENNESSEE.

CARUTHERS EWING,
Solicitor for State of Arkansas

J. S. UTLEY,
Attorney-General of State of Arkansas.

EWING, KING & KING,
Of Counsel.

COOP.-MEMPHIS

INDEX

	Page
Brief and Argument on Exceptions	1- 92
The Statement	1- 3
Exception I.	4
Exception II.	5
Exception III.	7
Exception IV.	8
River Before Cut Off	12- 15
Exception V.	16
Caving of Trigg Tract	15, 16-37-45-55, 56
Exception VI.	28
Commission's Adherence to Suter Map	16- 36
Exception VII.	31
Exception VIII.	37
Exception IX.	38
Suter Map and Humphrey Map	48- 52
Exception X.	49
Exception XI.	54
Exception XII.	56
Exception XII-a	67
Caving of Centennial Island	68 -75
Exception XIII.	81
Terminus of Commission's Line	81
Exception XIV.	83
Exception XV.	83
Exception XVI.	89
Exception XVII.	89
Exception XVIII.	90
Exception XIX.	91
Exception XX.	91
Motion on Costs	93